

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/960,206	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OFEK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Prenell P. Jones	<b>Art Unit</b> 2667	

**All Participants:**

(1) Prenell P. Jones.

(2) Applicants Representative (Mr. David Sitrick).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 April 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant that there was a restriction on the present Application. Applicant has elected with traverse the prosecution of Group 1 (claims 1-43 and 55-60), and Applicant has authorized Examiner to cancel non-elected Group 2 (claims 44-54) via an Examiners Amendment.